JUL 19 2023

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	United	States of America,	)	Case No. 4:23.mj - 71002-MAG
		Plaintiff, v.	)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
		Defendant(s).	)	
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on July 19, 2023, the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from July 19, 2023 to August 16, 2023 and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):				
		Failure to grant a continuance See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)	would be lik (i).	ely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).			
		Failure to grant a continuance taking into account the exercise	would deny e of due dili	the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, gence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
		Failure to grant a continuance counsel's other scheduled case See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)	e commitmen	isonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given its, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
		Failure to grant a continuance necessary for effective prepara See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)	ation, taking	into account the exercise of due diligence.
With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public is disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to paragraph and — based on the parties' showing of good cause — finds the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Crimin extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy exclusions set forth above). See Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 316			s the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first owing of good cause — finds good cause for extending or moder Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for dictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the	
IT IS SO ORDERED.				
DATED: Kandis A. Westmore United States Magistrate Judge				
STIPULATED:				Certifo
Attorney for Defendant  Assistant United States Attorney  Charle F. Bices To				Assistant United States Attorney Charles F. Bicesto